















MNA 5/2/03 14:59

3:03-CV-00882 CARLOCK V. TACO BELL

1

CMP.

ROY L. LANDERS (BAR #64920) LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS FILED 7840 MISSION CENTER COURT, SUITE 101 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108 3 TELEPHONÉ (619) 296-7898 FACSIMILE (619) 296-5611 MAY - 12003 Attorney for Plaintiff: GAYNOR CARLOCK 5 TRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 RBB 0882JM Case No. 203 CV GAYNOR CARLOCK 11 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES RE: Plaintiff, 12 VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ON VS. BASIS OF DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC 13 ACCOMMODATIONS; UNFAIR, UNLAWFUL AND FRAUDULENT TACO BELL, TACO BELL 14 BUSINESS PRACTICES: NEGLIGENT RESTAURANT, TACO BELL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL CORPORATION, AND DOES 1-10, 15 DISTRESS: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION Inclusive. OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS: DEMAND 16 FOR JURY TRIAL Defendants. 17 18 19 20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 21 1. (a) Jurisdiction of this action is invoked on the basis of 28 USC 1331 and 1343,42 USC 12101-22 12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et. seq. Jurisdiction is also invoked pursuant to 42 USC 1981 23 [Civil Rights Act of 1991], et seq. which is applicable to causes of action where persons with 24 disabilities have been denied their civil rights and Title II, section 201, et. seq. of the 1964 Civil 25 Rights Act. Venue in the Southern Judicial District of California in the United States District Court 26 is in accord with 28. U.S.C. section 1391(b) because a substantial part of plaintiff's claims arose 27 within the Judicial District of the United States District Court of the Southern District of California. 28

OR

Complaint for Damages - 1

(b) <u>Supplemental Jurisdiction</u>. The Judicial District of the United States District Court of the Southern District of California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims alleged in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a). Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this action on the basis that all the causes of action or claims derived from federal law and those arising under state law, as herein alleged, arose from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common nucleus of operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents whereby plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendant's facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal and state laws when plaintiff attempted to enter, use, and/or exit Defendant's facilities as described within this Complaint. Further, due to this denial of full and equal access Plaintiff and other person's with disabilities were injured. Based upon such allegations the state actions, as stated herein, are so related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy, and the actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

II

PARTIES

- 2. Defendant(s), TACO BELL RESTAURANT is and at all times herein mentioned were duly organized business, association, or corporation duly authorized to exist and operate within the State of California and County of San Diego and the owner, operator or lessee of the premises located at 584 N. MOLLISON AVENUE, EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants TACO BELL, TACO BELL RESTAURANT, TACO BELL CORPORATION, were at all times herein was and are the owners, lessors, tenants and controlling parties of the property, which is the subject of this action and in some manner responsible for the violations of law as alleged herein.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the named defendants herein operates a business and or/facility of public accommodation as defined and described within 42 USC 12181(7)(B) of the American with Disabilities Act [ADA] and as such must comply with the ADA under provisions of Title III therein.
- 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the defendants sued as Does 1-10 herein, and therefore sues them in their fictitious names as Doe defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does

1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of the subject property and each of the Doe defendants at all times herein was acting as the agent and or representative of each other and thereby are responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages complained of herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to name Doe defendants when the same is ascertained.

Ш

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 6. Plaintiff is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no control over his lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to transport himself and to effect the basic necessities of his everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability substantially limits one or more of life's major activities and therefore he is disabled as defined under 42 USC 12102(2)(A)(B)(C).
- 7. On or about FEBRUARY 27, 2003 plaintiff attempted to enter the subject premises of the defendants herein to utilize goods and/or services offered by defendants. When Plaintiff attempted to enter said facility, plaintiff had difficulty entering and using the facility because it failed to comply with Federal ADA Access Guidelines For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"] and/or the State of California's Title 24 Building Code Requirements.
- 8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing Defendants' facility and which amount to a violation of ADAAG and Title 24 of the California Building Code are:
 - 1. Improper Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out Reclaim at:), (CA Title 24 1129B.5);
 - 2. Improper Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out Telephone number:), (CA Title 24 1129B.5);
 - 3. No Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space, (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2);
 - 4. No Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space Width, (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2);
 - 5. No Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space Length, (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2);

1	6.	No Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space Signage,
2		(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2);
3	7.	No Van Accessible Aisle, (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2);
4	8.	No Van Accessible Aisle Width, (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2);
5	9.	No Van Accessible Aisle Length, (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2);
6	10.	No Van Accessible Aisle (Passenger Side), (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24
7		1129B.4.2);
8	11.	No Additional Signage (Van Accessible), (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24
9		1129B.5);
10	12.	No Access Route - A Route of Travel, (ADAAG 4.1.3(2), 4.3.2(2) & CA
11		Title 24 1114B.1.2);
12	13.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Min Requirement 5%),
13		(ADAAG 5.1 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);
14	14.	No Seating – Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities – (Clear Space 30" x 48"
15		Min)), (ADAAG 4.2.4.1 & CA Title 24 1122B.3);
16	15.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Knee Space 27"H, 30"W,
17		19"D), (ADAAG 4.32.3 & CA Title 24 1122B.3);
18	16.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Table Height 28"-34"),
19		(ADAAG 4.32.4 & CA Title 24 1122B.4);
20	17.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Access Aisles Min Width
21		36"), (ADAAG 5.3 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);
22	18.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Equivalent Services &
23		Décor @ Accessible Seating), (ADAAG 5.4 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);
24	19.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Accessible Seating
25		Integrated w/General Seating), (ADAAG 5.4 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);
26	20.	No Bathroom - International Symbol of Accessibility, (ADAAG 4.30.6 &
27		CA Title 24 1117B.5.9);
28		

1	21. No Raised Braille Characters (Restroom Signage), (ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA					
2		Title 24 1117B.5.6.1 & .2);				
3	22.	No Wall Mount Signage (Latch Side of Door), (ADAAG 4.1.2(7)(d) and CA				
4		Title 24 1117B.5.1.1 & .5.6.3);				
5	23.	No Wall Mount Signage (60 inches from the floor), (ADAAG 4.30.6 & CA				
6		_Title-24_1117B.5.9);				
7	24.	Improper Grab Bar – (Rear Grab Bar Length 36" Min), (ADAAG 4.17.6 &				
8		CA Title 24 1115B.8.1);				
9	25.	Improper Accessories/Fixtures – Proper Height (Toilet Seat Covers),				
10		(ADAAG 4.23.7 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.2);				
11	26.	Improper Accessories/Fixtures – Proper Height (Soap Dispenser), (ADAAG				
12		4.23.7 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.2);				
13	27.	Improper Flush Valve - Correct Side, (ADAAG 4.16.5 & CA Title 24				
14		1502.0);				
15	28.	Improper Hardware – Opening Door Knobs, (ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA Title 24				
16		1133B.2.5.1);				
17	29.	Improper Hardware - Opening Door Lock/Latch, (ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA				
18		Title 24 1115B.7.1.4);				
19	30.	Improper Drain & Hot Water Pipes – Insulated or Covered, (ADAAG 4.24.6)				
20	9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants' facility has in excess of					
21	FORTY (40) Violations of ADAAG and/or Title 24 at their facility.					
22	10. These violations are believed to have existed for a significant period of time and with					
23	defendant's specific knowledge.					
24	11. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated against and will continue to be					
25	discriminated against unless and until Defendants are enjoined and forced to cease and desist from					
26	continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others similarly situated.					
27	12. Pursuant to federal {ADA} and state law [California Title 24], Defendants are required to					
28	remove barriers to their existing facilities. Defendants have been put on notice pursuant to the ADA					
	11					

and the California Civil Code prior to the statutory effect of the ADA on January 26, 1992 that Defendants and each of them had a duty to remove barriers to persons with disabilities such as plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should have known that individuals such as plaintiff with a disability are not required to give notice to a governmental agency prior to filing suit alleging Defendants' failure to remove architectural barriers.

13. Plaintiff believes and thereon allege that Defendants' facility, as described herein, have other access violations not directly experienced by Plaintiff, which preclude or limit access by others with disabilities, including, but not limited to, Space Allowances, Reach Ranges, Accessible Routes, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains, and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, Telephones, Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings and Signage. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to remove all architectural barriers, known or unknown. Also, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to utilize the ADA checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the United States Department of Justice and created by Adaptive Environments.

14. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business and utilize their facilities without being discriminated against in the immediate future.

IV

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)

15. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14 as though set forth fully herein.

Claim 1: Denial of Full and Equal Access

16. Based on the facts asserted above Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. Defendant TACO BELL RESTAURANT is a public accommodation owned, leased and/or operated by Defendants and each of them. Defendants' existing facilities and/or services failed to provide full and equal access to Defendants' facility as required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)(iv); 42 USC 1981 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

17. Plaintiff has a physical impairment as alleged herein because his condition affects one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, his physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the following major-life-activities: walking. In addition, Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major-life activities in the manner speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff has a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12102(2)(A).

Claim 2: Failure To Remove Architectural Barriers

18. Based upon the facts alleged herein, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned leased, and/or operated by the named Defendants. Defendants individually and collectively failed to remove barriers as required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thus alleges that architectural barriers which are structural in nature exist at the following physical elements of Defendants' facilities: Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, and Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings, Signage, and Telephones. Pursuant to 42 USC section 12182(b)(2)(iv), Title III requires places of public accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are structural in nature within existing facilities. Failure to remove such barriers and disparate treatment against a person who has a known association with a person with a disability are forms of prohibited discrimination. Accordingly, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12182 (b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 USC 1981 and 42 USC 12188.

Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

- 19. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed and refused to provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies and procedures in that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' services, as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. 1981 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.
- 20. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of defendants, plaintiff has suffered actual damages consisting of special damages and general damages in an amount to be determined at time of trial herein.
- 21. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and an order directing defendants to cease and desist from discriminating against plaintiff and others similarly situated and for an order that defendants comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act forthwith.
- 22. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys fees and requests that the court grant such fees as are appropriate

V

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Civil Rights 42 U.S.C. 1991)

- 23. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the First Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 24. The provisions of 42 U.S.C. 1981 (As amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991) provide that Plaintiff as a person with disabilities cannot be discriminated against with regard to the ability to enter into, to make or to enforce contracts. In enacting the Civil Rights Act of 1991 congress established a three tier system of remedies for a broad range of discretionary conduct, including violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act, wherein disabled individuals such as plaintiff are denied equal access to facilities they wish to conduct business in and therefore are precluded from making, entering into and enforcing contracts that plaintiff and others similarly situated may desire to effect.

25. Defendants, because they have individually and/or collectively denied plaintiff access to their premises, goods and services, have denied him the right to make, enter into or enforce a contract and therefore have violated the provisions of 42 U.S.C. 1991 all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial herein.

26. As a result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff was humiliated, embarrassed and discouraged and upset emotionally and physically and suffered damages according to proof.

27. The actions of the Defendants were intentional, outrageous and done with reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and therefore entitle him to an award of punitive damages.

28. By reason of Defendants' actions Plaintiff was caused to incur costs and expenses of litigation, including attorney's fees, to seek and redress his civil rights. Plaintiff therefore seeks an award of costs and attorney's fees associated with the necessity of bringing this lawsuit.

VI

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)

29. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Second Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

(a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access

30. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1; California Health and Safety Code Section 19955 and California Government Code Section 12948. The actions of Defendants also violate the provisions of Title 24 of the State of California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons with disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants facilities due to violations pertaining to accessible routes, ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones, curb ramps, ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals, lavatories and mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and operating mechanisms, alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

31. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated against.

(b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

32. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to provide a reasonable alternative to allow plaintiff equal access to their facility by modifying their practices, policies, and procedures in that that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services as required by California Civil Code section 54-and 54.1. Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against Plaintiff.

VII

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)

- 33. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 34. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights Act], provides in pertinent part:

"All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

35. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by

failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff to their facility on the same basis as other persons not disabled. By their failure to provide equal access to Plaintiff as herein alleged, Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes section 51(f).

- 36. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights Defendants also violated the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 52, which makes a person or entity in violation of Cal. Civ. Code 51 liable in the amount of \$4,000 per violation of said statute.
- 37. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and including FEBRUARY 27, 2003 respectively and continuing to the present time, knew that persons with physical disabilities were

denied their rights of equal access to all portions of this public facility. Despite such knowledge,

Defendants, and each of them, failed and refused to take steps to comply with the applicable access
statutes and despite knowledge of the resulting problems and denial of civil rights suffered by
Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons with disabilities.

38. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take action to grant full and equal

access to persons with physical disabilities. Defendants have carried out a course of conduct of refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal access and have refused to comply with their legal obligations to make the subject facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the California Building Code [Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations]. Such actions and continuing course of conduct by Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and those similarly situated and thus justify an award of treble damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the Cal. Civ. Code or alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate amount.

- 39. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and continues to suffer such damages all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 40. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs as a result of having to bring this action. Plaintiff requests the court to award such fees in an appropriate amount.

VIII

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair And Unlawful Business Practice)

- 41. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 42. California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 states in pertinent part:
 - "As used in this chapter, unfair competition shall mean and include any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act..."

43. Defendants, as alleged herein, are in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act and Title 24 of the California Building Code, in that they have denied equal access to their places of public accommodation to Plaintiff and others similarly situated to Plaintiff. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to refuse to comply with equal access laws all in violation of 42 USC 12181-12183; 42 USC 1981; and 42 USC 12188. In addition the complained of acts are in violation of

California Civil Code Sections 51,52, 54,and 54.1, California Health and Safety Code section 19955 and California Government Code section 12948 all of which require Defendants to provide equal access to their facility to disabled persons such as plaintiff. Defendants are also in violation of the indicated statutes because of their failure to remove architectural barriers, which prevent equal access to their facility by disabled persons and because of their failure to modify their practices, policies and procedures to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated to enter and utilize Defendants' services as required by the Unruh Act.

- 44. Defendants' acts are unlawful and unfair and are therefore in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- 45. Pursuant to the provisions of California Business and Professions Code section 17201 Plaintiff is a person as identified within said section and therefore allowed to bring this action on behalf of himself and the general public to effectuate California Business and Professions Code 17200 as provided for within Business and Professions Code section 17204.
- 46. Thus, Plaintiff, under Bus & Prof. Code section 17200 seeks injunctive relief, on behalf of himself and the general public, requiring Defendants to remedy the disabled access violations present within Defendants' facility and that Defendants be ordered to cease and desist from continuing in noncompliance with disabled access statutes and regulations.

IX

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

47. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

48. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make their facility accessible and to keep Plaintiff reasonably safe from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue of the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state statutes including, but not limited to, ADA, ADAAG, California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. Defendants had a duty of due care not to do or cause anything to happen that would subject Plaintiff to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement.

49. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the actions and inaction complained of herein and as a result thereof Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at the callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or had reason to know that by denying Plaintiff equal access to their facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural barriers, Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress because of such discrimination and disparate treatment. Defendants breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of the acts outlined herein.

50. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff did suffer emotional and mental stress and pain and suffering all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

\mathbf{X}

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

51. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

CIVIL COVER SHEET



The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the illing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

use of the Clerk of Court fo	or the purpose of initiating the civil docket s	heet. (SEE	INSTRUCTIONS	ON THE REVERSE OF T	HE FORM.)	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS TACO BELL RESTAURANT, TACO			
GAYNOR CA	RLOCK		TACO BELI	TACO BELL R	ESTAURANT, TACO	
	Ì	FILE	Clusive	POFATION, AND	DOES 1-10,	
(h) Canaba af Daddaa	San Diego		<u> </u>	i San	Diego	
(b) County of Residence (EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Reside	ence of First Listed		
(2.1		MAY - 1	72000 TE: IN LAN	IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE D CONDEMNATION CASES 115	SETHE LOCATION OF THE	
	} [******	LAND	INVOLVED.	THE EDUCATION OF THE 1	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nan	ne, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Kr	IOWIT		
Roy L. Lar	CLERK ders (619) 28260+71849	., U.S. DIST	取り クエ へんじゅう	1	M DDD	
	on Center CT, Stite 10	14013 (RIC)	DEPU	Cy 088 2j	M ABB	
San Diego,	CA 92108		1			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITI	ZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
		(For D	iversity Cases Only)	ICH ALI AKHES(F	and One Box for Defendant)	
□ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	Citizer	ı of This State 🕟 🗆	DEF 1	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Chiza	TOT THIS STATE	of Business In	Principal Place	
□ 2 U.S. Government	□ 4 Diversity	Citizes	of Another State □	2 D 2 Incorporated and	Andret de e	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties	Citizei	i oi Ationici State 🗆		d Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 ☐ 5 ☐ 5 ☐ 5	
	in Item III)	Citiza	or Subject of a	3 D 3 Foreign Nation		
		For	ign Country	-3 U 3 Poreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TORTS		EITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES	
□ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury-		0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury	ce 🗀 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC	423 Withdrawal	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	y 🗀 63	0 Liquor Laws			
☐ [154EMEBlcare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.			
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE	RTV G6	0 Occupational Safety/Health	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud Liability ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	∫ □ 69	0 Other	□ 840 Trademark	Exchange	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	` L	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damag	ie 🗀 🗥	0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITI	13 m	0 Labor/Mgint.Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 895 Freedom of	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca	ate	& Disclosure Act © Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Information Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Accommodations ☐ 530 General	1		☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty		0 Other Labor Litigation	or Defendant)	☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	¥ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 540 Mandamus & O☐ 550 Civil Rights	ther 🔲 79	1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 871 IRS—Third Party	890 Other Statutory Actions	
	☐ 555 Prison Condition	n		26 USC 7609		
v. origin ^{(PLAC}	E AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		Trans	ferred from	Appeal to District	
			anothe ated or □ 5 (speci	er district fy)	Judge from ict □ 7 Magistrate	
VI CALICE OF ACTIV	Appellate Court Appellate Court (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are to Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)	Reope filing and write	ned brief statement of cause	Litigation	Judgment	
42 TISC 1210	Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity 1-12102, 12181-12183 a	y.)	001	-		
Discriminat	ion on basis of disabi	.na 122 33++ 1	Dublic Ac	J.		
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION		AND S		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: X		OI DE	tanb 3	JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
IF ANY	E(S) instructions): JUDG					
** ERIT E	E 0			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 1 12 M	AGNATURE OF A	RNEY OF	BECORD /	~		
ANI-05	- Nou a	h · /	anser			
FOR OFFICE OSE ONLY	1000					
RECEIPT (1)	AMOUN APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	
Elshe H	1/h					