

LODGED

FILED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MAR - 8 2005  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPUTY

1 Mark A. Jones (SBN 153297)  
2 Craig N. Beardsley (SBN 56522)  
3 JONES & BEARDSLEY, P.C.  
4 10000 Stockdale Highway, Suite 350  
Bakersfield, CA 93311  
ph (661) 664-2900  
fax (661) 664-2904

2005 FEB 24 PM 1:45

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF.  
LOS ANGELES

5 Attorneys for Defendant M.J. CABLE, INC.  
6 dba CABLES RESTAURANT

Priority  
Send  
Enter  
Closed  
JS-5/JS-6  
JS-2/JS-3  
Scan Only

7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JAREK MOLSKI, an individual; and )  
11 DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT, )  
12 EDUCATION SERVICES: HELPING YOU )  
13 HELP OTHERS, a California public benefit )  
14 corporation, )  
15 Plaintiffs, )  
16 v. )  
17 CABLE'S RESTAURANT; M.J. CABLE, )  
18 INC., a California corporation; 20929 )  
VENTURA BOULEVARD, LLC., a )  
California limited liability company; and )  
LJS PROPERTIES, LLC., a California )  
limited liability company, )  
19 Defendants. )

CASE NO. CV03-4809 DT (CW)  
Civil Rights  
**JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL ACTION**

ENTERED  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
MAR 10 2005  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPUTY  
THIS CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF ENTRY  
AS REQUIRED BY FRCP, RULE 77(d).

20 This action came on regularly for trial by jury on November 16, 2004 through and including  
21 November 18, 2004 with Plaintiff Jarek Molski appearing in person and by Thomas E. Frankovich,  
22 his attorney of record. Defendant M.J. Cable, Inc. dba Cables Restaurant appeared by  
23 representative/owner Tony Dalkas and by Craig N. Beardsley, its attorney of record;

24 A jury of eight persons was duly empaneled and sworn; witnesses testified, and after being  
25 duly instructed by the Court, the jury deliberated and thereon duly returned the following Special  
26 Verdict: "Do you find that the defendant failed to identify and remove architectural barriers at  
27 Cable's Restaurant?", Answer: "No".; and it appearing that by reason of this Special Verdict that  
28 Defendant M.J. Cable, Inc. dba Cables Restaurant is entitled to Judgment against Plaintiff Jarek

100

1 Molski, and for costs;

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**, that Judgment be, and hereby is, entered  
3 in favor of Defendant M.J. Cable, Inc. dba Cables Restaurant and against Plaintiff Jarek Molski  
4 based upon the Special Verdict issued by the jury on November 18, 2004, a copy of which is  
5 attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference.

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED**, that Defendant recover from Plaintiff  
7 Jarek Molski incurred costs of \$1,484.57.

8

9

10 Dated: MAR - 8 2005

**DICKRAN TEVRIZIAN**  

---

**JUDGE DICKRAN TEVRIZIAN**  
**UNITED STATE DISTRICT JUDGE**

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SCANNED

*Nov. 18, 2004 @ 3:25 pm  
Started afternoon session*

SCANNED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAREK MOLSKI, an individual,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

CABLE'S RESTAURANT; M.J. CABLE,  
INC., a California corporation,

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. CV 03-4809 DT (CWx)  
SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Do you find that the defendant failed to identify and remove architectural  
barriers at Cable's Restaurant?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

If you answered "yes" to the above question, then please review each  
alleged barrier and indicate (1) whether the following architectural barriers existed  
at Cable's Restaurant as of January 26, 2003, (2) whether defendant M.J. Cable  
failed to identify and remove the barrier, and (3) whether the alleged barrier was  
"readily achievable" to remove.

01  
02  
03  
04  
05

1  
2 A. Interior Men's Room Door Opening Pressure Greater Than 5 lbs.

3 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

4 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

5 (2) If "yes," did defendant M. J. Cable fail to identify and remove the  
6 barrier?

7 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

8 (3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

9 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

10  
11 B. Failure to Provide a Paper Towel Dispenser at a Height of 40" or Less in  
12 the Men's Restroom

13 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

14 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

15 (2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the  
16 barrier?

17 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

18 (3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

19 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

20  
21 C. Failure to Insulate the Drain Under the Sink in the Men's Restroom

22 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

23 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

24 (2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the  
25 barrier?

26 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

STAMPED

1 (3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

2 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

3  
4 D. Failure to Provide a Water Closet (Toilet) With a Seat Height of 17" - 19" in the Men's Restroom

5 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

6 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

7 (2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the  
8 barrier?

9 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

10 (3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

11 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

12  
13 E. Failure to Provide a 42" Side Grab Bar in the Men's Restroom

14 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

15 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

16 (2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the  
17 barrier?

18 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

19 (3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

20 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

21  
22 F. Failure to Provide a 32" Rear Grab Bar in the Men's Restroom

23 (1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

24 Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

SCANNED

(2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the barrier?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

G. Failure to Provide 60" of Clear Floor Space, or a Maximum Amount of Clear Space in the Men's Restroom

(1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the barrier?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

H. Failure to Provide Levered Hardware (Faucets) on the Lavatory in the Men's Restroom

(1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(2) If "yes," did defendant M.J. Cable fail to identify and remove the barrier?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

SCANNED

I. Failure to Provide a Paper Toilet Seat Dispenser at a Height of 40" or Less in the Men's Room

(1) Did this barrier exist at the Cable's Restaurant on January 26, 2003?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(2) If "yes," did defendant M. J. Cable fail to identify and remove the barrier?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

(3) If "yes," was it readily achievable to remove?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

J. Should plaintiff be awarded statutory damages in the sum of \$4,000?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

DATED: 11/18/2004

Edward Fih  
Foreperson

**PROOF OF SERVICE**  
1013A (3) CCP Revised 5/1/88

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF KERN

I am employed in the County of Kern, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 10000 Stockdale Highway, Suite 350, Bakersfield, California, 93311

On **February 23, 2005**, I served the foregoing document **JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL ACTION**, in this action on Attorney for Interested Parties

       by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list;

  X   by placing        the original   X   a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Thomas E. Frankovich, Esq.  
Sarah Kraemer, Esq.  
2806 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94109

Jonathan L. Smoller, Esq.  
Kulik, Gottesman, Mouton & Siegel, LLP  
15303 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 1400  
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

  X   **BY MAIL**

  X   As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon prepaid at Bakersfield, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

  X   Executed on **February 23, 2005**, at Bakersfield, California.

  X   (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

       (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

  
Kate M. Baker